

Comments (may be shared with team):

Verbal Scoring Guide

Judge's Initials:

Student(s) Name/Code: Project Name			;	Excessive Time Penalty (1pt/1min, 2pt/2min.)					
				55 pts Worth 65% of final score					
INNOVATION									
Min		Adequate			Impressive				
0	1		2		3	4		5	Value
• Identifies a minor local issuin a common application.	ng techniques	Identifies an important local environmental issue. Uses existing techniques in a new application.			Identifies a substantial environmental issue: local, national or international. Uses a new approach or an existing technique in a highly creative manner.				
IMPACT POTENTIAL									
0	2		4		6	8 10		10	Value
Solution has little or no environmental and/or social improvement.			Solution has positive environmental and/or social improvement but may be difficult to repeat in other places of the watershed.			Solution could result in a clear environmental and/or social improvement and could easily be repeated in other places in the watershed.			
COMPREHENSIVE SCOPE AND COMMUNICATION									
0	2		4		6	8 10		10	Value
Common knowledge has applied. The proposal pre-understanding of the prol No clear introduction or c Little to no sources or rese watershed.		The project has an adequate degree of vision and complexity. Has an introduction, body, and conclusion but flow between ideas is weak. Several sources provided. Some research of the local watershed.			The project thoroughly reflects a deep understanding of the local watershed and the issue, its solution, and complexity. Introduction, body and conclusion are captivating, flow smoothly and are well-balanced. Many sources cited throughout proposal. Extensive research				
		BUDGET							
0	1		2		3	4		5	Value
No costs of project have be mentioned appear unreal	ed or values	Costs of the project have been analyzed. Values appear accurate but a few costs may be missing.			A detailed budget has been included. Values appear accurate and all encompassing.				
REALISTIC SOLUTION									
0		2	4		6	8		10	Value
Project may not adhere to This project is unlikely to be implemented. Little to no evidence that implement.		Project complies with existing laws. This project is likely to happen at the local level. Evidence is provided that this project MIGHT be implemented however, specific dates, times, locations etc are missing.			Project complies with existing laws and avoids undesirable side effects. This solution is highly practical and may benefit other watersheds. Evidence is provided that this project has been or will be implemented within one year.				
VISUALS									
0		1	2		3	4		5	Value
Visuals are incorrect, unattractive or ambiguous. Visuals do not add new information.			Some visuals are used, but are not clearly explained. Visuals support the project.			Visuals are unique, appealing, descriptive, and accurate. Visuals significantly enhance the idea, such as PowerPoint, videos, displays, poems or charts.			
VERBAL PRESENTATION									
0		1	2		3	4		5	Value
Poor enthusiasm, eye comprojection Does not engage the judg Not all group members sp Poor response to judge's compressive to judge's com	and voice	 Good enthusiasm, eye contact, posture, and voice projection. Judges are engaged in the presentation Not all group members speak Good response to judge's questions 			Excellent enthusiasm, eye contact, posture, and voice projection Judges are engaged. The presentation is interesting and empowering All group members speak (does not have to be equal but each member must verbally participate) Confident and accurate response to judge's questions				
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS (SDGS)									
0		1	2		3	4		5	Value
• No mention of the Sustair (SDGs) or what goal(s) the		Mentions the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and provides some detail on what goal(s) the solution will contribute to.			Clearly addresses what Sustainable Development Goal(s) (SDGs) the solution will contribute to, including specific SDG targets.				